



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,401	10/01/2003	Hiroko Sukeda	501.39424CX2	5173	
20457	7590 03/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			PAIK, S	PAIK, STEVE S	
SUITE 1800	I DE VENTEENTH DIRE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22209-9889		2876		

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/674,401	SUKEDA ET AL.			
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven S. Paik	2876			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	SS		
THE MAILING DATE OF The Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail of the period for reply specified above if NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period w nded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI date of this communication, even if time	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this comm  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 01 O	ctober 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	. ,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application	,					
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are ro 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	n(s) is/are withdrav allowed. ejected.					
Application Papers						
	n <u>01 October 2003</u> is/are: est that any objection to the oneet(s) including the correcti	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is many a) All b) Some * c  1. Certified copies  2. Certified copies  3. Copies of the company application from	ade of a claim for foreign  None of:  of the priority documents  of the priority documents  ertified copies of the prior  the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been rec	ication No ceived in this National Sta	ge		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	rawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/1/03</u>.</li> </ol>	(s) (P10-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	пан г ацент Аррисацоп (РТО-152	د)		

Art Unit: 2876

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 14 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: please insert a space between the word, "claim" and number "1" on line 1 of claims 14 and 18. Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,995. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claimed invention is a broader recitation of the aforementioned U.S. patent. For instance, the limitations recited in claims 1-21 of the present claimed invention can be found in claims 1-16 of the '995 patent with the exception of using a few different phrases to claim

substantially identical means and functions. Some of the dependent claims recite the same limitations as shown in part of independent claims of '995 patent.

Therefore, in respect to above discussions, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of claims 1 -16 of the '995 patent as a general teachings for loading an application program into a smart card as claimed by present application. The instant claims obviously encompass the above-mentioned patents and differ only in terminology.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (5:30am-2:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

ssp